

Q1Climate Change, Eugenics, Oil and Tyranny

Introduction

This paper comprises two chapters from a forthcoming book on refuting establishment lies. I believe that the information presented here is so important and relevant that I have adapted them into a separate paper so that they can get more individual attention.

The Climate Change fiasco is something that is affecting everyone all the time, in unnecessary taxes, regulations, restrictions and many facets of life. However, the real problem is that it is part of a plan by the global elite to control all human society in preparation for totalitarian domination. Most Green activists have no clue about this and are prospering the very people that are really destroying the earth, Big Oil, bankers and global corporations. It is time for people to wake up.

Lies: We are facing doom in ten years because of man-made Climate Change; CO2 is toxic.

Introduction

The fact that the vast majority of people believe this canard shows the massive success of establishment conditioning in education and the media. To believe such nonsense in the face of obvious facts is truly shocking.

I first want to say that I believe in genuine environmentalism. God placed man on the earth as a steward of the natural world and his duty to God is to live responsibly in harmony with nature. Being sensitive to ecological issues is a divine responsibility. However, the anthropogenic (man-made) global warming scam has nothing to do with true environmentalism but is a global elite strategy to create fear and panic and to tax the poor. It is also a ploy to prevent Africa from having an industrial revolution that would create widespread prosperity and bring global power to Black people. Eventually, it is one tool, with others, to control the world and enslave all humanity.

There are so many reasons to dispel the UN's IPCC statements that it would take a very long book to develop them all; here I simply intend to make a few salient points to demonstrate the fallacy of the narrative.

The IPCC is political, not scientific

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change is a political organisation, created by the Rockefellers and others, that is part of the corrupt UN and is not a scientific body at all. It has a political agenda that is driven by the global elite and not a scientific agenda determined by proven evidence.

The real problem with the IPCC claims is that their whole scenario is not based upon hard facts but on computer predictions and a political strategy. These predictions have already been proved to be false. The predictions made by these models for the years post 1995 were all very wrong. Thus the IPCC website had to remove posts regarding predictions that the Maldives would be under water by now. It is politics not science that is driving the climate change agenda.

Scientific climatologists do not agree with the anthropogenic climate change scenario

Multiple highly esteemed scientists and climatologists do not agree with the idea of man-made global warming but these people are constantly ignored by the media despite being the true experts in the field. One survey of published papers stated that only 3% of climatologists agreed with the IPCC, yet false propaganda affirms that 98% of climatologists agree with it – this is a blatant lie. Recently in America a petition was signed by 30,000 scientists calling for an end to the hoax of man-made climate change.

See reports, speeches, videos and books by Lord Christopher Monckton, Piers Corbyn, Ian Plimer, David Bellamy, David Archibald etc.

Climate change is cyclical and not man-made

Human experience, dendrology and ice-core samples prove that climate fluctuates in a cyclical pattern, getting warmer and then getting colder and so on. The recent decades have not been extreme within the normal cycle; in fact, it has historically been very much hotter and very much colder.

The fashionable hockey-stick graph of warming results from the baseline being taken at the end of a mini ice-age in the early 1800s, so temperature was abnormally low and the rise since then is not extreme at all. In the 1700s the river Thames regularly froze over enabling houses to be built upon it and fairs take place on it (The Dalton Minimum). The hockey-stick graph of warming was published by Michael Mann at the IPCC claiming that temperatures were hotter than ever before (a blatant lie).¹ The scientific community condemned this graph but the media paid no attention and the graph is touted around to this day, despite being discredited. If the hockey-stick was based on the Medieval Warm Period it would be upside down since temperatures have never been that high since.

Former higher temperatures include the Roman Period when grapes were grown in York and Northumberland, as well as the Medieval Warm Period, which resulted in great prosperity. We have proof of these from ice-core samples and peat examination.² These periods were very much hotter than recent decades and, far from bringing Armageddon, they were prosperous. One enabled Roman Imperialism to stretch to Scotland and bring the Pax Romana, the other enabled the building of the great cathedrals and a population explosion. In previous centuries Antarctica had trees and Greenland was exactly that, it had no ice.

The cause of the climate cycle is debated by climatologists, but very many are coming to the conclusion, steadily verified as more data comes in, that the prime cause of global temperature fluctuations is the cyclical changes in the sun.

CO2 build up follows warming, not the other way round

The sun appears to be determining the amount of CO₂ in the atmosphere following very complicated processes regarding cloud formations and changes in the temperature of the oceans.

What is now certain is that the build up of CO₂ in the atmosphere follows the warming of the oceans; not the other way around. The whole argument of the current Climate Change political activists is that CO₂ causes global warming. This is now known to be scientifically wrong. Thus there is no basis to the extravagant claims of the IPCC and Green activists.

¹ It was based on the dendrochronology of certain pine trees. This test is known to be far less accurate than ice-core samples. Tree rings are affected by cosmic radiation more than temperature.

² Broad-leaved trees grew in the northern uplands which would require a higher temperature of 3 degrees C.

The UN and the BBC were asked (e.g. by Piers Corbyn) to supply scientific evidence of man-made global warming and CO₂ generated warming; they were unable to supply any whatsoever. There is none.

CO₂ is not poison but is life to plants

The doom-laden predictions of the Green activists like Extinction Rebellion are unbelievably facile. They paint Carbon Dioxide as if it were some kind of poison that must be removed from the Earth. In fact, CO₂ is a life-giver. It is vital to the life cycle of plants, which gives us oxygen. Plants need CO₂.

Why Greens demonise CO₂ when it is vital to green plants is a mystery; unless they are just too ignorant to understand photosynthesis. The more CO₂ on the Earth, the better trees, shrubs and plants will thrive; it is really that simple. CO₂ causes faster growth of all green plants. If Green activists are concerned about plant life on the Earth, they should campaign for more CO₂ not less. Market gardeners increase the CO₂ in their greenhouses to 1,000 ppm.

The warming that was experienced in the 20th century, which was associated with a slight rise in CO₂, resulted in a massive explosion of growth in green areas as more trees and shrubs were enabled to grow. Parts of the Sahara became green again. It has been calculated that the green spaces on the Earth in this period expanded by 33%.³

Far from the doom that this warming was supposed to bring (and all the IPCC predictions proved to be false), the warming produced more plant life and thus more oxygen.

Without greenhouse gases the earth would freeze at zero degrees F. CO₂ is a trace element in the atmosphere, which accounts for around 365-385 parts per million (ppm). The warming effect of CO₂ is logarithmic. The first 20ppm of CO₂ added causes a temperature rise of 2 degrees C with rapidly decreasing effect on temperature after that. This effect is ignored by the IPCC.

Hungarian scientist Dr Ferenc Miskolzi published a peer reviewed paper explaining that the greenhouse effect of CO₂ was saturated by 2011 and would not affect the earth's temperature after that (and it hasn't since, it has got colder). His conclusions have been backed up by other papers. Apparently an unlikely doubling of CO₂ in the atmosphere would result in only a maximum of 1 degree C increase in global temperature. [This would require burning all the known reserves of natural gas and oil and a third of the world reserves of coal.] In fact the recent high CO₂ levels were the result of the seas heating up after the Medieval Warm Period (it takes centuries for the complex process in climate and the oceans to release CO₂).

Despite an increase of CO₂ in the atmosphere since 1995 world temperature has plateaued or fallen. Since 2001 global temperatures have been cooling. In 2005 the Aqua satellite tested climate change theory and found no warming in the troposphere above the equator (the signature of the greenhouse effect).

Evolutionary scientists state that 450 million years ago, before the carboniferous period when mammals began to quit the ocean, the level of CO₂ in the atmosphere was 4,000 ppm. [For Christians this would equate to the time before the flood.] The high levels of CO₂ (and subsequent higher levels of oxygen) account for the gigantism of plants and

³ *Nature Climate Change* journal, analysis of satellite data by 32 scientists from 24 institutions. NASA, 'Carbon dioxide fertilisation greening Earth, study finds', 26 April 2016.

animals in that time period. Far from being a doomsday effect, high CO₂ was life enhancing.

Why do Greens concentrate on mankind? Termites produce ten times more CO₂ than humans; why not exterminate all termites? Apart from that, CO₂ is emitted from volcanoes, rotting plants, cattle flatulence and many other sources all adding up to 96% of CO₂ coming from the natural world not mankind.

Global temperatures peaked in the early 90s and are now going down

There is good evidence that the land-based measurements of global temperature have been twisted to support the IPCC agenda. There have been multiple examples of fraud and corruption in claims by climate change activists, which we will not delve into here.

However, the satellite measurements have proved to be much more trustworthy and these contradict the land measurements. The satellite data shows that the claims made by the IPCC are entirely false. In fact, graphs of the projected temperature rise in the last 50 years compared to the actual measured temperature show a vast exaggeration by the IPCC which failed to materialise.

The average global temperature increase that spooked Green activists was between 1975 and 1995. In this time temperature rose by 0.16 degrees C per decade, that is, 0.34 degrees for the warming period. After that time temperatures stopped rising. The panic has been caused by computer models predicting a far greater rise in temperatures than this – which never occurred. A similar warming was experienced between 1860-1880 and 1910-1940; neither caused the end of the world.

Ocean acidification

The oceans remain alkaline due to a number of natural processes, being stable at about 8pH. These include volcanic activity, and high levels of calcium carbonate (shells, coral). Scientists have demonstrated that there is no threat of oceanic acidification (e.g. Matt Ridley, Ian Plimer). Pollution has caused some rivers to be highly acidic but the oceans remain stable.

Ocean levels

According to NASA the ocean levels have not risen significantly at all but have dropped two years in a row.

False predictions

For decades the politically correct doomsayers in the environmentalist movement,⁴ and especially the politically motivated IPCC, predicted multiple global catastrophes (such as the sinking of the Maldives) and gave dates for the end of the world due to global warming. Every single prediction failed.

Some embarrassingly gave new predictions after the old ones failed, and these new predictions failed as well. Lacking any wisdom, they continue to give predictions to scare gullible people. David Attenborough recently launched a campaign with a new prediction despite all the previous failures. Here are just a few:

- 1970: *Civilisation will end within 15 or 30 years unless immediate action is taken against problems facing mankind.* George Wald, Harvard Biology, 1970.
- 1970: *It is already too late to avoid mass starvation.* Denis Hayes, Chief organiser for Earth Day 1970.

⁴ E.g. David Attenborough, Al Gore, and Prince Charles.

- 1970: *We are in an environmental crisis which threatens the survival of this nation, and of the world as a suitable planet of human habitation.* Barry Commonor, Washington University, 1970.
- 1970: *Demographers agree almost unanimously on the following grim timetable: by 1975 widespread famines will begin in India; these will spread by 1990 to include all India, Pakistan, China and the Near East, Africa. By the year 2000, or conceivably sooner, South and Central America will exist under famine conditions ... By the year 2000, thirty years from now, the entire world, with the exception of Western Europe, North America and Australia, will be in famine.* Peter Gunter, North Texas State University, 1970.
- 1970: *In a decade, urban dwellers will have to wear gas masks to survive air pollution ... by 1985 air pollution will have reduced the amount of sunlight reaching the earth by one half.* *Life Magazine*, 1970.
- 1981: James Hansen warned that melting ice would cause a worldwide sea level rise of 15 to 20 feet. James Hansen, NASA scientist, *New York Times*, 'Study finds warming trend that could raise sea levels', 22 August 1981.
- 1987: *It is possible that carbon dioxide climate change induced famines could kill as many as a billion people before the year 2020.* Paul Ehrlich citing John Holdren (director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy for Obama and professor at UC Berkeley); Ehrlich, *The Machinery of Nature*, Touchstone Books, (1987).
- 1989: Entire nations could be wiped off the Earth by rising sea levels if the global warming trend is not reversed by 2000. Ocean levels will rise up to three feet and will cover the Maldives. Noel Brown, director of the UN Environmental Program. *AP News*, 'UN predicts disaster...', 30 June 1989.
- 2001: *Milder winter temperatures will decrease heavy snowstorms.* IPCC, 2001 Third Assessment Report. [In fact some countries have had their worst snowstorms in history in recent years.]
- 2005: *50 million environmental refugees by the end of the decade [i.e. 2015], UN warns.* *The Guardian*, David Adam, 12 October 2005, quoting Janos Bogardi, director of the Institute for Environmental and Human Security at the UN University in Bonn.
- 2006: *I think we have a very brief window of opportunity to deal with climate change ... no longer than a decade at the most.* James Hansen, NASA scientist, speech at the 2006 Climate Change Research Conference, *NBC News*, 'Warming expert: only decade left to act in time', updated 14 September 2006.
- 2007: *Scientists say eight years left to avoid worst effects.* IPCC report, *The Guardian*, 'UN scientists warn time is running out to tackle global warming', 5 May 2007.
- 2007: Andrew Simms said that we had 'only 100 months to avoid disaster'.
- 2007: Wieslaw Laslowski predicted an ice-free Arctic Ocean by 2013. *BBC News*, 'Arctic summers ice-free by 2013', 12 December 2007.
- 2008: Srgjan Kerim, President of the UN General Assembly stated that there would be between 50 and 200 million environmental migrants by 2010. UN, General Assembly, 'Battle against climate change calls for war footing...' 8 July 2008. By 2017 not one person was formally a climate change refugee.
- 2008: Al Gore said that they only had ten years from July 2008 to ensure 'the survival of the USA'.
- May 2008 Prince Charles said we had, 'just 18 months to stop climate change'.
- 2009: Jim Hensen warned that Obama only had 'four years to save the Earth'.
- 2009: Prince Charles said in July 2009 that there was only 96 months to save the world. That ran out in 2017.

- 2009: Lester Brown published in 2009 that we had ‘*only months, not years to save civilisation from climate change*’.
- 2012: Peter Wadhams predicted a collapse of the Arctic ice sheet by 2015. *The Guardian*, ‘Arctic expert predicts final collapse of sea ice within four years’, 17 September 2012.

Celebrity predictions include:

- March 2009 Prince Charles, there are only 100 months left to prevent irretrievable climate collapse. *The Spectator*, 1 May 2017. Failed in June 2017. In 2015 he then extended this threat to 35 years.
- 2019: David Attenborough claimed that ‘*Climate change is running faster than we are ... If we don’t take action, the collapse of our civilisation and the extinction of much of the natural world is on the horizon*’. UN Climate Conference in Poland. See also, BBC TV, ‘Climate Change: the facts’, ‘*We are facing the collapse of our societies*’. The programme contained multiple errors of fact.

The reality

- There was a 42% reduction in the number of hungry people from 1990 to 2014. The world has enough food to feed everyone. Per capita food production increased from 2,220 kcal/person/day in the early 1960s to 2,790 kcal/person/day in 2008.
- The planet is greener today than it was in 1982. Satellite imagery show very strong greening over 25-50% of the Earth.
- From 2006 observations confirmed that the world’s biomass was increasing due to CO₂. Satellite passive microwave observations demonstrated this global trend in 2015.
- Slowdown in warming began in about 1995 and was observed to continue between 1998 and 2014. This was interrupted by the big El Nino in 2015-2016. Many climatologists affirm that the world has been cooling since the early 2000s.
- Tide gauges in the South Pacific reported variable trends between –1 to +3 mm/year between 1992 and 2010.
- No decrease in September Arctic sea ice has been observed since 2007.
- Since 1920 the number of US wildfires has plummeted.

The Industrial Revolution did not cause a rise in global temperature

Scientists have confirmed that the rise in global temperature from 1830 to the late 20th century would have occurred naturally anyway without the Industrial Revolution.⁵

Ice sheets

The ice sheets in Antarctica did not significantly decrease despite all the alarmist statements. Ice sheets naturally expand and decrease through normal processes, but the Antarctic ice sheets remained fairly stable. In recent years NASA has shown that Antarctica has seen a rise in snow accumulation that more than outweighs any loss of thinning glaciers. There were net gains of 112 billion tons of ice per year from 1992 to 2001 and 82 billion tons per year from 2003 to 2008.⁶

There was a noticeable shrinking of the Arctic ice sheets, which was a boon to sea communications, as new waterways opened up for trade. This shrinking was beneficial and did not submerge coastlines on the Atlantic Ocean. However, these ice sheets are now

⁵ Dr John Abbot & Jennifer Marchasy study. *Phys.org*, Bob Yirka, ‘Research pair suggest global warming almost entirely completely natural’, 23 August 2017.

⁶ Global Climate Change, Maria-Jose Vinas, NASA Earth Sciences Team, ‘Study: Mass gains of Antarctic ice sheet greater than losses’, 5 November 2015.

expanding again, so the idea of a global catastrophe emanating from the melting of northern ice sheets is a total fallacy. The Jakobshavn glacier in Greenland (used by climate change activists to demonstrate global warming) is now growing back due to unusually cold ocean currents.⁷ Pictures and statements about the shrinking of the Arctic ice on the BBC website and statements by David Attenborough are wrong; they are out of date. The ice and snow has been increasing for a few years. Snow coverage in the north is now at its highest level for decades.

Panic about decreasing ice in the Arctic is nothing new. Newspaper reports struck fear in 1922 saying all the same things as modern Green activists.⁸ It did not lead to doom but was part of a normal cycle.

Polar Bears

Some had stated in the 90s that polar bears would be extinct by now as they were suffering most from global warming. Well, they did not go extinct in the much hotter Roman and medieval periods so why should they die out now?

In fact the current polar bear population is at its highest levels in historical memory. Far from dying out, they are prospering. The population increased from 20,000 in 2005 to 31,000 in 2015.⁹

Fake photographs were used in the magazine *Science* regarding polar bears in 2010. This was reported in the Daily Telegraph, 'Ursus bogus', 10 May 2010.

Suicide of walruses

David Attenborough claimed that climate change is forcing walruses to jump off cliffs! In fact two things cause this. The first is being chased by polar bears.¹⁰ The second is the effect of drones frightening the walrus. These drones are used by BBC cameramen to film the event. Attenborough is a front for the global elite whose cameramen have frightened walruses to death. Attenborough is not a scientist but a presenter and narrator; he cannot be taken seriously. His predecessor, David Bellamy, is a genuine scientist and naturalist but he was sacked for denying man-made global warming, proving the elite-biased agenda of the BBC.

Ignoring water vapour

Green activists focus almost entirely upon CO₂ as the culprit for causing climate change but a much more powerful greenhouse gas is in fact water vapour. There is far more water vapour in the atmosphere than CO₂. What are Greens going to do about that? Why is it ignored and CO₂ singled out? [I explain why later.]

Ignoring chemtrails

All the hoo-ha about CO₂ as a dangerous greenhouse gas (which it is not) ignores the much greater problem affecting climate – that is, Geo-Engineering.

Also known as 'Chemtrails', this is the establishment manipulation of the climate through military application of aerosols in the atmosphere. There are various suggested reasons for this (including weaponising the atmosphere) but one published reason is to reverse climate change by filling the atmosphere with chemicals that reflect sunlight back into space.

⁷ *Newsmax*, Larry Bell, 'Greenland's glacier grows alarming climate hysterics', 22 April 2019.

⁸ *Washington Post*, 2 November 1922.

⁹ Polar Bear Science, 'Global population of polar bears has increased...' 15 July 2013 and later updates.

¹⁰ Townhall, 'What David Attenborough and Netflix's 'Our Planet' get wrong about climate change', 14 April 2019.

This attempted manipulation of planetary processes with chemicals in the ecological system is foolhardy beyond comprehension.

Chemtrails are the trails left behind in the sky by specialised military jets. They are different from the condensation trails (contrails) left by commercial airliners. They can be seen from time to time by everyone in the UK as these planes leave a grid pattern in the sky and the trails take a long time to dissipate.

The chemicals ejected by these aircraft are all highly toxic and include aluminium oxide and barium. High levels of these have been found after chemtrails have dispersed. For example, high concentrations of these chemicals have been identified in high pools at the top of mountains, which should be pure water.

For those who dispute that chemtrails even exist they should consult papers in the US library of Congress which has declassified documents dating back to 1945 showing the programmes of Geo-Engineering. One example of a weaponised chemtrail was Operation Popeye in the Vietnam War whereby the US tried to severely intensify the monsoon in order to ruin the Ho Chi Minh trail used for Viet Cong army supplies.

The dangers of this industrial pollution of the skies is incalculable. It is a severe threat to humanity as it is poisoning the air, the water table and the soil, let alone the warping of climatological systems (which some have claimed have worsened hurricanes). This is a real threat to humanity that environmentalists should be up in arms about – but the Greens ignore this danger completely.

Burn trees to control climate change

The UK government has teamed up with certain companies and the state government of Virginia to destroy vast areas of southern US hardwood forests. Thus destroying whole forests that took centuries to grow is being cited as a 'Green' initiative. This is to produce what is called '*biomass energy*'. The defence for this is that trees are technically renewable, but this ignores the time it takes to grow a mature tree, which can be 200 years or more.

Imagine the cost and carbon creation of this. Business flights between the relevant companies back and forth over the Atlantic. Hacking down millions of trees; using oil-based power tools and petrol vehicles. Processing them into pellets and then transporting them across the Atlantic to be burned in Britain. Insane. The cost is nearly \$1bn every year. The Green charges on every person's power bill are paying for this. Then there is the matter of the heat and ash pollution in the burning of these hardwood pellets. This is hardly Green.

The Ecologist journal claims that using pellets generates about 8% more carbon than coal burning. This was confirmed by experiments at Nottingham University. So this process is not even Green in any sense of the word. It is a lie. EU laws regard wood biomass as carbon neutral, so the actual facts of the process are ignored.

So the British government has a policy of killing forests to burn hardwood in a process that creates far more CO₂ than it saves and costs the British taxpayer far more money than burning coal, while destroying a whole ecology. Our government is psychotic. It is insane.

The Extinction Rebellion movement is directly supported by and linked to global corporatists

The current Extinction Rebellion (XR) movement is generating front-page headlines and huge public interest. Jeremy Corbyn and John McDonnell have praised the young Swedish girl (Greta Thunberg) that spoke at public events, in flattering terms. Rather than get a

scientist to present evidence for their claim, the establishment get a young girl. In fact, Thunberg is managed by a company that has George Soros as a sponsor. This girl is also clinically mentally ill and her manipulative parents claim that she can see invisible CO₂! She is also dressed to look very young when in fact she is 16. Using a sick girl in this way is deplorable.

The high-sounding claims that '*we are making a difference*' are true. They prevented hundreds of Londoners from getting to work as parts of London were brought to a standstill. They cost businesses in Oxford St and other places millions of pounds in lost revenue. Other businesses were affected when activists glued themselves to office doors or smashed windows. Their demonstrations left behind tons of refuse and plastic dumped on green sites. Thousands of children lost schooling time through the boycott. Some difference!

It was observed that most of the people involved were either young folk out of work that were out for a jolly or well-healed upper middle class privileged people that didn't work.

In fact, this is not a grass roots generated movement but is sponsored by very powerful global corporations that stand to gain from Green initiatives, especially all the main telecommunication companies.

The Extinction Rebellion Twitter account advertised links to XR Business. Business leaders have identified environmental issues as an opportunity for commercial gain, such as WHEB, an investment company seeing the opportunities created by the transition to a low carbon sustainable global economy. Someone has to manufacture, batteries, electric cars, waste-to-energy schemes, geothermal schemes, wind turbines, solar panels, biodegradable containers, cotton carrier bags and so on. Other companies interested in Extinction Rebellion are Global Impact Investing Network (a multi-million dollar investment firm) and Tribe Impact Capital LLP whose tagline is '*a new wealth order*' (seriously!).

XR business leaders John Elkington and Louise Kjellerup come from Volans Ventures Ltd who are involved with Tomorrow's Capitalism Inquiry, backed by AVIVA and Unilever amongst others. Jeremy Legget, active promoter of XR Business online, is director of Solarcentury Ltd, which is partnered with Unilever. Jake Hayman is another supporter whose Ten Years' Time programme targets wealthy families looking to invest in new Green ideas. Samer Salty, is co-founder of Zouk Capital LLP an equity fund manager which is negotiating with the government to manage a £400m scheme to increase the number of electric cars.

Another supporter is Michael Bonte-Friedheim, the CEO of NextEnergy Capital, a solar investment asset manager. Also Tomas Carruthers, the CEO of Project Heather, building a stock exchange to cater for a Green society.

Charmian Love and Amanda Feldman are co-founders of Heliotropy Ltd supposed '*builders of a brighter future*', which claims to mobilise movements and create collaborations. It is connected to Corporate Impact X, which supports corporations to develop high impact venturing and investment. Another link is to the Multilateral Investment Fund of the Inter-American Development Bank Group and the Inclusive Business Action Network, a global partnership. These identify \$19 in potential profits.

Exxon Mobil is on the committee of Citizens Online, the group that initiated XR. Piers Corbyn had meetings with the CEO of Exxon Mobil who admitted that their own scientific analysis confirmed that there was no man-made climate change and that CO₂ followed warming and did not create it; but then also admitted that they would not go against the

Green lobby. Oil companies are making money out of the climate change scam, especially due to artificially raised energy prices.

Behind the XR movement are a series of powerful global corporations and investment firms seeking to make a killing out of duping society into granting them taxpayers money for something not needed. Behind the smiley, cuddly Green face there is a hard, global elite capitalist, corporatist venture to make money – but these are the very people that contribute most to polluting the planet.

The real culprits

While XR and other Greens give corporatists a chance to rub their noses in the trough once again, the real problem is being ignored. It is corporations and the greed of the elite that is creating the conditions for the extinction of plants and animals that Greens moan about. There is a real extinction problem but it is not going to be solved by a few minor changes to society and taxing the poor even more. Changing to a cotton shopping bag is not going to stop corporations killing the natural world on an industrial scale.

A full analysis of the horrific scale of natural devastation caused by global corporations would take many books. A few examples include: industrial toxic sludge and sewage being poured onto agricultural land, destroying the soil, killing insects and birds. Deforestation, especially of the Amazonian rainforest. Biofuels and biomass energy. The appalling evil of genetically modified crops that are killing birds, insects and especially bees, as well as causing cancers in humans. Nuclear waste disposal. Cutting down old trees in British cities to make way for 5G signal transmission (5,000 were felled in Sheffield alone so far). Fracking which pollutes the land poisons the water table and causes cancer and sickness to local humans.

Wind farms kill millions of insects, bats and some birds, as well as causing sleep deprivation to nearby residents. They are ineffective and are merely a symbol for Green activity, while solving nothing. They are far more expensive than burning coal, which we have plenty of. They only get built because of Green subsidies. Worst of all, their creation involves a huge amount of carbon loss (creating the steel, the cables, the footings etc.).

Stopping working class people going to work or folk shopping in Oxford Street or hindering people getting a vacation flight from Heathrow is targeting the wrong culprit. It is not ordinary people causing the problem – it is global corporations; the very people profiting from this Green agenda. Green activists are making the situation worse by their own stupidity.

Electric cars

This is just another corporatist scam to use Green policies to make loads of money. These cars cost a lot to produce and cost a fortune to buy; they are also ineffective, requiring power point charging every few hundred miles at best. First, there are insufficient power charging posts available; second no business can afford to let representatives stop for several hours to charge a car halfway through the journey. Yet worse than this, these cars are actually more polluting than diesel trucks, all things considered.¹¹

The EU claims that electric cars produce zero CO₂ emissions, thus it subsidises these, creating vast profits for elite investors. According to the report headed by Christoph Buchal of the IFO Institute in Germany they do not produce zero emissions. Taking into account their manufacture, they actually produce a carbon footprint worse than diesel cars by 11-28%. One issue is the huge energy requirement to extract the lithium-cobalt and

¹¹ Natural News, 'Lance D Johnson, 'The big electric vehicle lie...'', 7 May 2019.

manganese for the batteries. One Tesla model 3 battery needs up to 15 tonnes of CO₂ to manufacture. Electric cars thus emit up to 180 grams of CO₂ per kilometre. Another issue is the energy requirement to run them. The report advises using hydrogen or methane based engines.

All of this ignores the several versions of car engines that have been developed to run on water or magnetism, which were all shut down by the global elite to secure the oil economy and oil power base. Many inventors were killed for challenging Big Petrol. The elite do not want environmentally friendly car engines.

Elitism

The serious problem with Green issues is that they generate new costs and these costs are eventually passed to the poorest people in society to pay. Today every person in Britain is paying £100 a year (or more) added to the energy bills to support Green schemes. When the poorest in society cannot afford to heat their homes in winter, this is a travesty. But the new ideas coming out are going to cost much more in tax.

In addition to this are the ever-increasing costs of ordinary household goods due to Green activism, whether it is a useless light bulb costing much more than the old decent ones, or carrier bags (that are actually biodegradable and very Green).¹² More and more items are being subject to Green pressures that raise the cost of production. Greens have even suggest raising the price of meat to discourage eating animals when poor people already can't get enough good quality protein.

This is why Green issues create elitism – they make poor people poorer and make rich people feel self-satisfied.

Genuine benevolent Green activism would target wealthy corporations that create terrible pollution and Government schemes that create waste, instead of damaging the most vulnerable in society.

The future prospect – cooling

Most sound climatologists agree that, in the normal climate cycle, the Earth will cool in the future; many are saying that the initial cooling has already been operating for a few years. In fact many have declared that there will be an ice-age within years. The Earth has recently cooled significantly and we may be entering a period of cooling already. This will cause a lack of food at a time of Earth's greatest need of it.

Weeks ago America was hit by exceptional snowstorms in the Mid West that destroyed a large proportion of grain production for the year. No one can ever remember when this happened before. In the last two years America has suffered exceptionally severe winters. In recent days the UK has experienced an unusual cold period in May with snow in Scotland. Australia rarely has snow in winter but several years ago it had a snowstorm in summer.

The problem is not going to be global warming but global cooling. More CO₂ not less would be beneficial.

¹² If the naphtha that carrier bags are made from is not used then it will have to be burned off as a by-product of the oil industry. Carrier bags are actually a Green success story.

The root of the Climate Change hoax

As I have demonstrated, corporations are lining up to support the Climate Change agenda because it is a massive industry for future investment. However, the real issue is that the elite corporatocracy was behind the whole scam, in the first place.

The roots of the Climate Change (then Global Warming) and demonising of CO₂ scam are the same oil oligarchs behind Big Oil, who are also the eugenicists of the 19th – early 20th century. Eugenics did not die with its adoption by the Nazis but re-invented itself in the form of environmentalism, population control, the UN's Agenda 21 (and its updates) and sustainable development projects. [See next.]

The ultimate intention is to fully control every aspect of human life through 5G and the Internet of Things whereby all appliances continually monitor transactions and report every facet of your life to those in power, who can then use this to control you. Energy is going to be the future currency and joules will be allocated to individuals based on this monitoring. The complete subjugation of humanity will then be accomplished as working people become a peasant serfdom squashed into huge urban areas. The rural world outside these areas will be restricted to the rich people in control.

This is why CO₂ is the chief target of the Green movement. Ignoring Carbon Dioxide's massive benefit to nature or that it is the basic stuff that we are all made from, Greens focus on this when it is not even the most prolific greenhouse gas in the atmosphere (such as water vapour). The reason is that CO₂ is a by-product of industrial development that takes nations out of the undeveloped world into the modern age. This is why China is currently the world's biggest producer of CO₂ because it is a rapidly modernising industrial nation. The global elite eugenicists do not want Third World nations advancing into powerful industrial societies and utilising their own natural resources to their own good. This would make Africa and other nations powerful political players on the world scene and the elite want to do everything to stop that happening. So CO₂ was highlighted as evil to start a global movement to cut it. It has nothing to do with environmental protection.

Take a moment to consider this. Why is a beneficial chemical, something that boosts plant life, vilified so fanatically by Climate Change hoaxers? It isn't even the worst greenhouse gas in the atmosphere. It has nothing to do with ecology but everything to do with politics and power.

The fake concern about the environment by the Climate Change sponsors is facilitating the eugenic plan of the global elite. Green politics is the 21st century parallel to oil politics in the 20th century. Both are controlled by the same people.¹³ This is why the Big Oil conglomerates are actively divesting themselves from oil and taking up sustainable energy projects. They care nothing about oil, nor the environment, but rather power and control. They see the sustainable energy revolution as the new development in seizing power over nations just as they did by using oil products to control everything in society in the 20th century, from powering cars, to global finance (Petro-Dollar), pharmaceuticals, plastic and most everything else.

Interim conclusion

Climate is constantly changing in a cycle governed by solar activity. This is such a huge complex process it is impossible for mankind to affect climate in any significant way. Mankind can cause localised problems but not global ones. Just one big volcanic eruption

¹³ Such as the Rockefellers (Standard Oil), the British royal family (BP) the Rothschilds and the Dutch royal family (Royal Dutch Shell). These are the heads of the main oil companies that now champion Green energy.

produces more greenhouse gases than all human history. Cows and insects and the oceans produce more CO₂ than human beings.

CO₂ is benevolent; without it we would have no plant life and thus no oxygen.¹⁴ The global warming of the later 20th century produced a huge growth of plant life on Earth resulting in a third more trees and green plants. CO₂ is not a poison to be afraid of. CO₂ will not destroy our forests.

The Green Climate Change lobby and activism is closely connected to global corporations that stand to make billions from Green environmental development, while the poor are being wrongly taxed for Green strategies that are pointless.

The connection between Climate Change, sustainable development, eugenics and totalitarianism

What is eugenics?

The word was coined by Sir Francis Galton [1822–1911] in 1883, essentially meaning ‘well-born’.

It is the pseudo-science of improving a population by controlled breeding to increase the occurrence of certain heritable characteristics. It was initially propounded by the psychologist Galton as a method of improving the human race, but it fell into disfavour after the promotion of its doctrines by the Third Reich. Because eugenic ideas flourished under Nazism, where specific ethnic groups, disabled and homosexuals were targeted for elimination, they became discredited; however, eugenicists did not go away but hid themselves under other guises.

Eugenics has no scientific basis whatsoever but is based upon arbitrary decisions by elite people who choose to eradicate undesirables in society, chiefly by sterilisation to stop them breeding. It is a doctrine allied to Malthusianism, which seeks to reduce the world population dramatically; the eugenics contribution to this is to keep what some consider the best of the population and eliminate the rest or keep them as controlled-breeding serfs.

Not only is eugenics a discredited, bad science based on arbitrary choices (such as eliminating the ‘feeble-minded’ or ‘poor’) it is thoroughly wicked and satanic. Yet the people in global power are all proponents of it, making eugenics the basis of their plans for a cabal of privileged elite people that rule over a world of slaves. Eugenics aims to eradicate the classes in society that are undesirable: racial classes, social classes, the poor, the feeble etc.

The early development of eugenics

Mendel and Galton

Gregor Johann Mendel [1822–84] had studied the hereditary characteristics in pea plants showing how selective breeding could be predicted and controlled. Mendel became the father of genetics. From systematically breeding peas he demonstrated the transmission of characteristics in a predictable way by factors (genes) which remain intact and independent between generations and do not blend. Galton took these ideas further and associated them with human selection.

¹⁴ Oxygen is also produced by plant life in the oceans as well as on land.

Spencer

After Galton, eugenic ideas were widely discussed in Britain, the USA, and Europe in the late 19th and early 20th centuries, notably by Herbert Spencer [1820–1903] the British philosopher and sociologist; the Darwinist who coined the phrase, ‘*survival of the fittest*’. He proposed the idea of eugenics being the self-determination of mankind to promote evolutionary advancement.

Charles Darwin

Darwin’s evolutionary theory also dominated these ideas that certain people in a society (the fittest) will develop better human faculties and should be selected for survival and the weaker strands of humanity eradicated or stopped from breeding.

The idea emerged that better human beings could be bred by genetic control. This incorporated not just physical characteristics, such as weight or height, but also social ones, such as intelligence, criminality and conscientiousness. Thus poor people came from poor stock; criminals came from criminal stock etc.

The elite latched on to this as a ‘scientific’ defence of their natural calling to be dominant in society alongside royals. Thus the nouveau riche were dominant due to their genes; they were a better class of people. So the ‘robber barons’, the bankers and the industrialists joined with intellectual Darwinists like TH Huxley¹⁵ and the Fabian Society to promote eugenics that explained why they were better than poor people.

In the early 20th century eugenics led to forced sterilisation programmes for people of low IQ or for having some congenital disease. In Nazi Germany it led to eradication programmes of unfit people (such as the disabled, Gypsies, homosexuals etc.). It helped to make a defence for abortion and gave an excuse for social engineering.

Pseudo-science

Despite all the books, papers and lectures on eugenics by high-class people, it was all a product of human imagination and had no real science to back it up. It depended upon arbitrary definitions and choices with no explanation. Since genetic and DNA research was decades away, it made up terms such as, ‘*defective germ plasm*’ to describe the actual factors of eugenics. It used the discredited ‘science’ of phrenology¹⁶ to measure the fitness of people. But the fact that it is junk science did not stop the elite from taking it up.

The elite involvement in eugenics

Introduction and summary

What must be borne in mind is that it is a prime goal of the elite to reduce the world’s population down to 500 million, thus eradicating over 6 billion people. Wars are helpful; diseases are helpful; but short of mass murder, which would currently not be tolerated (it will be eventually), the current policy is to reduce fertility, introduce sterilisation programmes (such as through vaccines and food toxins) and convince people to not have children. This is due to two lying doctrines: Malthusian¹⁷ ideas about world population and eugenics. When eugenics became unpopular, the elite re-branded the doctrine under the

¹⁵ Thomas Henry Huxley [1825–95], English biologist. A surgeon and leading supporter of Darwinism, he coined the word ‘agnostic’ to describe his own beliefs. Notable works: *Man’s Place in Nature* (1863). He was such a defender of Darwin that he became known as ‘Darwin’s bulldog’.

¹⁶ The detailed study of the shape and size of the cranium as a supposed indication of character and mental abilities.

¹⁷ I.e. that the world cannot sustain the many people on it. This was based on the doctrines of Thomas Robert Malthus [1766–1834] English economist and clergyman. He averred that forcible restraint of population growth was necessary.

guise of environmentalism and sustainability. The Green movement was initiated, funded, supported and directed by the oil-corporatist elite.

Charles Davenport

In America, eugenics was adopted by people like zoologist Charles Davenport. He said in a speech to the American Breeders Association, '*Society must protect itself; as it claims the right to deprive the murderer of his life, so also it may annihilate the hideous serpent of hopelessly vicious protoplasm*'.¹⁸ Davenport regarded eugenics like a religious zealot, even writing an eugenics creed in *Eugenics as a Religion*.

He promoted the idea of a Eugenics Record Office to register the social background of everyone in America. Everyone was to be categorised and given a genetic rating. The elite Carnegie Institute and John D Rockefeller Jnr. funded Davenport to set this up. This funding also initiated sister organisations, such as the Bureau of Social Hygiene, and specific studies, such as one for Nassau County (New York), to gather more data on every person to identify those needing to be eliminated.

The founding director of the Rockefeller Institute for Medical Research sat on the ERO's board and helped direct its activities. Davenport was also very close to John D Rockefeller Jnr. and they kept a regular correspondence. In 1912, when Davenport suggested eugenic screening of convicts as part of a plan to institutionalise 'mentally deficient' female convicts, Rockefeller gave \$200,000 to found the Institute of Criminology in New York, administered by Rockefeller's Bureau of Social Hygiene and staffed from the Eugenics Records Office.

Widely accepted

By the 1920s an entire generation became infatuated with eugenics, its principles being widely accepted by economists, commentators, politicians, authors, and biologists who sought to eradicate the 'germ plasm' of the lower stock. Champions such as Marie Stopes, the family planning pioneer, called for the compulsory sterilisation for those she deemed unfit for parenthood. Others, such as Tommy Douglas, the founder of Canada's health care system, called for morons, defectives and low IQ people to be quarantined in large isolated farms and stopped from breeding. John Maynard Keynes, the economist, was president of the British Eugenics Society (1937-1944). Alexander Graham Bell, inventor of the telephone, was a supporter of Davenport and a member of the Eugenics Record Office who called for the government to stop deaf people from marrying. Playwright George Bernard Shaw (a Fabian) demanded a government panel be created to make citizens justify their existence. If they failed to make a good case, he thought that they should be executed: '*There are an extraordinary number of people whom I want to kill ... who are of no use in this world. Who are more trouble than they are worth*'.¹⁹ Pastors were given credit if they put the eugenics message into their sermons. Eugenics even became the subject of movies like the 1934 *Tomorrow's Children* (*The Unborn* in the UK).

Forced sterilisation

While eugenics took hold even in popular society, the elite eugenicists saw state murder as the quickest way to deal with defective plasm and openly talked of '*murdering degenerates*'. The divine law of the sanctity of human life did not count for those deemed as unfit or defective (by whose definition?), just as today babies in the womb are not sacrosanct but are aborted by the millions.

Mistaken regard for what are believed to be divine laws and a sentimental belief in the sanctity of human life tend to prevent both the elimination of defective infants and the

¹⁸ Speech to the American Breeders Society.

¹⁹ Speech on film talking about capital punishment, available on YouTube.

sterilisation of such adults as are themselves of no value to the community. The laws of nature [i.e. evolution] require the obliteration of the unfit and human life is valuable only when it is of use to the community or race.²⁰

But the elite shied away from mass murder as not politically acceptable; instead they adopted forced sterilisation laws. Indiana passed the first American law allowing forced sterilisation in 1907 followed by eleven more states, but this was overturned later by the Supreme Court in 1921.

Harry Laughlin (Davenport's colleague at the ERO) drafted a 'Model Eugenic Sterilisation' law in 1922 that became the basis for Virginia, which adopted a eugenics sterilisation law in 1924 and challenged the Supreme Court. This was upheld. Tens of thousands were sterilised against their will, the first victim being Carrie Buck who had been abused and raped in a foster family; she was sent to the Colony for Epileptics and Feebleminded (she was neither). 70,000 innocent people would be sterilised. Oliver Wendell Holmes Jnr, (a eugenicist) wrote to justify this action and compared sterilisation with compulsory vaccination.

Germany

The Rockefeller Foundation then put massive finance into German scientists to establish a eugenics programme in Germany. In July 1933 forced sterilisation became law for disabled people before Hitler came to total power²¹ and before Germany's eugenics programme from 1936. By 1945 400,000 had been sterilised; this included: the feeble-minded, schizophrenics, manic-depressives, epileptics, those with hereditary deformities, compulsive alcoholics, the blind and the deaf. In the 1940s this was expanded into euthanasia programmes that killed 70,000 civilians. So great was the disgust about this at the close of WWII that eugenics became associated with Nazi brutality.

So the name of eugenics could no longer be used without provoking outrage, but it continued under other guises: '*Eugenic goals are most likely to be attained under a name other than eugenics*'.²²

The Population Council

John D Rockefeller III founded and funded the Population Council in 1952, supposedly to do research into alleviating the problems of an expanding population but really seeking to control global population by fertility research. The original draft of its charter showed its fundamental eugenic principles but this draft was changed by co-founder Thomas Perrin (former Surgeon General) to hide this implicit Nazism.

The offending statement was actually copied by Rockefeller from an issue of *Eugenical News*, the broadsheet of the eugenics movement in America. The successor to Rockefeller as president of the Population Council was Frederick Osborne, who was also the president of the American Eugenics Society; the two organisations shared the same building and received money from Rockefeller. The Population Council was the Eugenics Society under another name.

The divine right of the elite to rule

The elite saw themselves as the top of the evolutionary tree in society, the best of humanity, and sought to rid the earth of lesser people who were not as privileged, rich or clever as they were.

²⁰ Madison Grant, director of the American Eugenics Society, 1915.

²¹ Six months after Hitler was appointed interim chancellor by President Hindenburg.

²² Frederick Osborne (co-founder of the American Eugenics Society), 'Eugenics policies and proposals'.

Eugenics thus replaced the ancient idea of the divine right of kings in society. This was the notion that the rich, the aristocracy, princes and kings were set in place by God (or in some religions were gods, such as the Pharaohs) divinely appointed to rule over lesser, common people who were serfs that toiled the land to produce food and wealth. The Enlightenment severed that relationship while the Industrial Revolution produced a new class of people, the nouveau riche, a middle class of which some members became very rich through industry. The global elite are chiefly such nouveau riche hobnobbing with the European royal families that remained. Instead of the divine right of kings we now have eugenics hidden under modern elite schemes.

Climate Change crisis - a front for eugenics

Development of the environmental movement



Maurice Strong rose from poor, non-academic²³ beginnings to the heights of social power, such as: organiser of the Stockholm Environmental Conference, founding director of the UN Environment Programme, Secretary General of the Rio Earth Summit, founder of the Earth Charter Movement, and many more. In reality he was a Rockefeller placement, having links to him for many years, running major oil companies. The Rockefeller Foundation under John D Rockefeller III funded and supported the original sustainable development programme on the basis of controlling world population.

Alongside the Rockefellers, the other oil oligarchs were also involved in the development of the original environmental programmes, such as the British royals (BP) and Royal Dutch Shell.

Julian Huxley (grandson of Darwin's bulldog) was a committed eugenicist (chairing the British Eugenic Society from 1959 to 1962) and also sought to promote the newly developing Green movement to hide the eugenic programme. He was the founding director of the UN's Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO). He wrote that it was necessary to make the cause of eugenics politically viable anew, saying,

At the moment it is probable that the indirect effect of civilisation is dysgenic instead of eugenic, and in any case it seems likely that the dead weight of genetic stupidity, physical weakness, mental instability, and disease-proneness which already exists in the human species, will prove too great a burden for real progress to be achieved.

Thus even though it is quite true that any radical eugenic policy will be for many years politically and psychologically impossible, it is important for UNESCO to see that the eugenic problem is examined with the greatest care, and that the public mind is informed of the issues at stake so that much that now is unthinkable may at least become thinkable [i.e. to eliminate defective people].²⁴

He used UNESCO to found the International Union for the Conservation of Nature in 1948 as a springboard for eugenic policy. Then he used that agency to form a new springboard in 1961, the World Wildlife Fund. Colleagues in the WWF were arch-elite figure and alleged paedophile, Prince Bernard of the Netherlands (former employee of IG Farben,²⁵ and founder of the Bilderberger Group); Prince Phillip of Britain (who famously stated that he wished to be reincarnated as a deadly virus to kill millions of people) and Godfrey Rockefeller. Eugenics was now being hidden under the guise of conservation, with a

²³ He dropped out of school at 14.

²⁴ Julian Huxley; 'UNESCO: Its purpose and its philosophy', 1946.

²⁵ Chief manufacturer for Nazi Germany and maker of Zyklon B.

programme of public education about the need for conservation. Problems in the environment were not blamed on the raping of the earth by oil corporations but blamed on the expanding human population.

Note the irony, the very people that had ruined much of the earth through their programmes of mining, oil drilling, pollution, nuclear waste and so forth, were now acting as guardians for nature conservancy. Their concern was not nature (many species have become extinct since 1961, so the WWF achieved nothing) but programming people to accept population control.

Their propaganda promoted the idea that the key to save planet Earth was to be found in the United Nations, the headquarters of which had been donated by the Rockefellers. This was pushed in newsreels, documentaries, news reports, books, pamphlets and the press. The UN was the sacrosanct saviour of mankind, never to be questioned. Thus the world's first international environmental conference (The UN Conference on the Human Environment) was set up in 1972 at Stockholm, a landmark event in Green history. This was overseen by Canadian Maurice Strong, the Rockefeller oilman who was made a millionaire through connections to David Rockefeller and was a trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation. Strong commissioned Barbara Ward (Carnegie Institute) and Rene Dubos (Rockefeller ecologist) to write *Only One Earth*, a key document on sustainable development and global management of resources.

This conference set up a whole new bureaucracy in the UN including the UN Environment Programme (UNEP), with Strong as the first director. After this Strong was made the president of Canada's new oil company (PetroCanada) by Prime Minister Pierre Trudeau. His many other posts include chairman of the Canada Development Investment Corporation, and chairman of AZL Resources Inc. and leading the UN's famine relief programme in Africa.

He also organised the important Worldwide Conservation 4th World Wilderness Congress in Denver (1987), which included the Rockefellers and the Rothschilds and many other globalists. The purpose of this was not the environment but eugenics. Secret recordings of this conference were released in the 1990s, which caused great shock to many and that include statements that the human population is '*cannon-fodder*'.²⁶ Edmond de Rothschild was introduced as one of the founders of the conservation movement and originator of 'conservation-banking'.

One of the policies of this conference was the requirement to set up 'wilderness areas', vast expanses of terrain mostly excluded from the public. These were overseen by the IUCN (International Union for the Conservation of Nature). This was the same body that Huxley had used to create the WWF. Rothschild also proposed a World Conservation Bank to co-ordinate finance for development projects around the world, which would include government and private agencies. It was to have no boundaries.

The next big move, also led by Strong, was the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio, considered a major landmark for the Greens. I remember that this supposed conservation event used so much paper that it destroyed a small forest. It contained representatives from 166 nations. Here the Rothschilds got their World Conservation Bank, the Global Environment Facility (GEF). This now funds many development projects and five UN agencies. Its partners include the Rothschilds, the IUCN, the UNEP, and the WWF.

²⁶ Made by George Hunt, 'UN UNCED Earth Summit 1992' (1992); he was a Colorado business man who helped at the conference but was horrified by what he heard. The cannon fodder comment was made by Montreal banker David Lang, a speaker at the conference.

One facility of this bank is 'debt for nature swaps'. Nations are given debt relief for opening up lands for environmental development projects. These have transaction costs of up to 5% paid to the contractors. In some projects local natives have been kicked off their own territory and their nation wiped of the map, such as the aborigines of Palawan Island.²⁷

The conference also created the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change which oversees the Intergovernmental Panel of Climate Change (IPCC), the UN panel at the foundation of the Climate Change hoax. The terms of reference for this was written by Strong under direction from the elite. Far from being balanced and independent, this and the other UN bodies follow Strong's directive that humanity is to blame for everything, hence man-made global warming. The definition of climate change was limited to human causes. A true scientific study staffed by genuine climatologists would deny such a claim and would consider natural variability, such as the sun. The point is again not the environment but facilitating the eugenic programme, step by step.

Another result of the conference was the *Earth Charter*, a quasi-religious document drafted by Mikhail Gorbachev and Strong to be a replacement for the Ten Commandments. This led to the idea of global responsibility and led to Gaia worship.

In order to build a sustainable global community, the nations of the world must renew their commitment to the United Nations, fulfil their obligations under existing international agreements, and support the implementation of Earth Charter principles with an international legally binding instrument on environment and development.²⁸

The document is a foundation for globalism. The primary author is Stephen Rockefeller who associated the idea of environmentalism with religious belief.

What Rio did was to complete the transformation of the old principles of eugenics into a new doctrine about environmental sustainability. Thus the constant repetition of the idea that man is a cancer on the earth that must be removed. This was wedded to reducing the carbon footprint, and reducing the human population to save the planet. Thus Green activists and various bodies began to demand that earnest people concerned about the planet refuse to reproduce. Restricting fertility was shown to massively reduce CO₂ more than any other activity. Articles appeared saying that '*Children are what's making the planet worse*'.²⁹

The elite ramped up the advertising and promotions, supporting all sorts of Green activism and uttering the most arrogant hypocrisy. Such as, David Rockefeller giving lectures on reducing oil-based products – a member of the banking family that added the Azerbaijani oil fields to its portfolio and still invests in oil through various avenues such as Genie Energy. Or Prince Charles urging his subjects to live more humbly and make savings while living a lifestyle of the utmost luxury, with servants preparing all sorts of food for him which is often not eaten.

All this groundwork is to prepare for the 'Post Carbon era' which is no longer about oil, but about control through Green issues. This is why the Rockefellers divested the family from oil in 2016. The focus is now on Green energy schemes and carbon-trading.

²⁷ A long, narrow island in the western Philippines, separating the Sulu Sea from the South China Sea; chief town, Puerto Princesa.

²⁸ The Earth Charter, section: 'The way forward'.

²⁹ E.g. a UK think tank, quoted by a Fox TV presenter, seen in YouTube, 'EndGame' (2007).

Technocracy

This was a form of social scientism which developed in the 1930s. It promoted the view that a new social order was required to fix human problems in a way that was not political, religious or social. It averred that technology and science must rule a new social order, dominion by experts if you will. While hating politicians, the new technocrats really promoted the idea of a new global elite of engineers and scientists that determine policy based on technology and science. Current society was not efficient and not sustainable so a new economic system was required to replace the free market.

Just as the eugenicists believed that a small group of special people could control society and make the world better, based on social Darwinism and Positivism, the Technocrats believed that a small group of scientific experts could rule the world in a better manner. These leaders would be called a 'technate'.

After being based in Columbia University the movement gained widespread recognition with spreads in the press and discussion amongst the intelligentsia. However, they were expelled from Columbia when one of the key leaders was discovered to be an academic fraud.

The Technocratic idea – an energy fiscal system

They proposed that money should be replaced with energy certificates; a new fiscal system centred upon joules based on the nation's energy expenditure.³⁰ Citizens would be given an equal share to make purchases. The spending of these would be monitored by the technate for analysis. This required all energy consumption to be registered on a continuous basis. Their policy documents states that there needs to be:

A 24/7 inventory of all products and consumption.

A complete registry of all products available for sale, where they were produced, how much energy was expended in their production, and where and when they were sold.

A specific registration of the consumption of each individual, plus a record and description of the individual.³¹

This required a totalitarian control of society where every detail of every person was recorded and analysed: scientifically engineered society.

Like eugenics, Technocracy seems to have faded away but it continues under a different guise and is controlled by the oil oligarchs.

Today such principles are being discussed under the guise of a 'carbon allowance', 'carbon tax', 'cap and trade' and 'carbon trading' a new economic order based on energy. The public is given to understand that these environmental measures are meant to penalise the Big Oil industry, which has been plundering the earth and damaging ecology. What is hidden from the public is that it is the very same oil oligarchs that are controlling this programme towards their goal of a totalitarian society under their control.

In 2006 the Big Oil oligarchy (including BP, ConocoPhillips and General Motors) formed the US Climate Action Partnership calling for action to reduce carbon emissions. It's *Blueprint for Legislative Action* became the basis of America's Clean Energy and Security Act to create a carbon-trading regime like the EU version. The Paris Agreement (2015) saw a leap forward in nations committing to the Technocrat ideal of rationed energy. This

³⁰ Joule is the SI unit of work or energy, equal to the work done by a force of one newton when its point of application moves one metre in the direction of action of the force, equivalent to one 3600th of a watt-hour.

³¹ M King Hubbert; 'Technocracy Study Course', Technocracy Inc., (1934). He later joined Shell.

summit was financed and supported by Big Oil. Ten of the biggest oil and other companies were part of the Oil & Gas Climate Initiative to transition to lower carbon forms of energy.

Internet of Things (IOT) and 5G

The IOT is the development of digital communication systems integrated in all home appliances that communicate with each other and report back to a control centre via the Internet to give data analysis.

There is now talk of 'Smart Cities' where every appliance is interconnected, where AI manufactures objects, where Smart driver-less cars travel the streets, where every move of a citizen is monitored by CCTV, where every appliance reports 24/7 on use, all in a 'Smart Grid'. This will enable complete control and micromanagement over all citizens in urban areas.

[The health implications of this are staggering, as it is now certain that 5G technology is highly dangerous to all cells, plant and animal. In recent tests in Belgium 5G aerial towers killed every bird within 50 feet in minutes of being switched on. In a Smart Grid every appliance in your house and the street (e.g. LED lampposts and aerials) will be 5G.]

The original Technocrats may have planned these ideas initially for the benefit of society and nature but today what used to be science fiction is now operational and these ideas, run by bankers and Big Oil, are using them to rule the world.

The great irony is that through media and educational manipulation and propaganda, the gullible public is hastening these developments thinking that they are benevolent. The post-carbon future is not the end of the corporatist oligarchy, as most Greens believe, but the beginning of global control by Satanists. Big Oil was about control over resources and energy production, thus controlling money (through the Petro-Dollar), medicines, plastics, and so much more. Now Big Oil and the bankers are controlling sustainable development schemes and Green projects to control humanity. The ultimate end is the same as eugenics, elimination of the 'cannon-fodder'.

Eugenics plus

From the beginning the global elite in many guises sought to control the world for their own comfort and power. Many schemes were initiated to help to bring this about: wars, revolutions, coups, vaccines, diseases, Allopathic medicine, GM food, Big Oil, Big Pharma, Big Aggro, political control, globalism, Cultural Marxism and so on, the purpose is always to gain more control over the world and reduce the human population. The aim is a global totalitarian Luciferian empire.

Eugenics was one of those schemes that would help the elite to eliminate superfluous populations. The same people that supported and financed eugenics are now supporting and controlling the environmental movement and the move to a post-carbon world.

Pericope: Al Gore

Far from being a benevolent environmental activist, Gore is a consummate global elite player and a proven liar of the first degree. Even a British court found that his film, 'An inconvenient Truth' contained multiple lies and required a warning before viewing. He is an arch-hypocrite that has taken many young Green-supporting people for a ride.

His father was a close friend of Armand Hammer, the oil tycoon behind Occidental Petroleum, and in 1970 went to work for him on a salary of half a million dollars a year. He then accumulated hundreds of thousands of dollars of Occidental stocks, which was appropriated by Al Gore on his father's death. So Gore had always been closely tied to Big Oil. In a deal with Occidental regarding the family's Tennessee land, which contained zinc reserves, Gore received \$200,000 a year payments. Gore also earned \$100 million from the Qatari government in 2013 from the sale of his 'Current TV' business when he was promoting global warming. This is proof of his hypocrisy.

In the 90s Al Gore began promoting energy trading schemes that were drawn up in partnership with disgraced Enron CEO Ken Lay. His lies about this were exposed in Congressional questioning by Rep. Steve Scalise. Enron was an energy-trading company that was later exposed as a complete fraud. It made contributions to environmental groups like Nature Conservancy in order to create a market for carbon trading. They then lobbied for an international treaty aimed at restricting carbon emissions and trading in emission rights. Goldman Sachs bank became a partner in this and helped to establish the Chicago Climate Exchange as the first North American emissions trading platform.

In 2004 Gore and David Blood from Goldman Sachs founded Generation Investment Management that sells carbon offsets. By 2000, Gore was being praised to become the world's first carbon billionaire (on top of his big investments in Big Oil).

Conclusion

This article demonstrates that the current policies about Climate Change, carbon emissions, carbon taxes, carbon-swaps, sustainable development schemes and environmentalism in general did not arise by accident. It was part of a planned and supported operation managed by Big Oil, the Rothschilds and the Rockefellers in particular.

Similarly the developments of the Internet of Things, wi fi, 5G, Smart Meters and AI are all connected to the gradual change to a non-carbon energy fiscal system which, according to the Technocrat agenda, requires constant surveillance of every aspect of human life. All of these initiatives enable the global elite (especially the oil oligarchs and the banking cabal) to effectively control everybody in the world, starting in the West.

The constant media push for Climate Change policies are not benevolent but are a conspiracy of the world governing cabal to develop a totalitarian empire that reduces working class people to serfs who are constantly monitored in every detail of their lives: what they eat (monitored by their fridges), how much energy they use (electricity Smart meters), what purchasing power they have (through energy certificates), what they spend their money on (through stores monitoring systems), what their interests are (through social media spying, thus enabling targeted adverts), what their medical condition is (through GP computerised data), what they say in private (through Smart televisions), what they watch on the Internet (through spyware and social media monitoring) and so on. Most of this technology is already in use now.

Sustainable development, as run by the oligarchs, is all about control and stealing natural resources directly. It is taking resources away from people and smaller private companies and giving them to the global elite cabal. It is the creation of the new feudal society that the elite always desired from the first.

Implications for Christians

Some Christians believe that studying this kind of material is a waste of time and a distraction. Over-intense study and undue fascination with conspiratorial activities in society is a distraction for believers; however, being well informed is not, indeed it is demanded of us. We are to be wise as serpents³² and must see through the deceptions of the enemy or we will be ensnared by them.³³

It is absolutely necessary for us to understand the doctrines of eschatology and the satanic warfare that goes on towards the end. Jesus and the apostles warned us that deception will be multiplied in these times³⁴ and that it is vital to be clear on what is going in this spiritual

³² Matt 10:16 'Behold, I send you out as sheep in the midst of wolves. Therefore be wise as serpents and harmless as doves'.

³³ 2 Tim 2:26 'That they may come to their senses and escape the snare of the devil, having been taken captive by him to do his will'. 1 Tim 3:7 'lest he fall into reproach and the snare of the devil'.

³⁴ Matt 24:4 'Jesus answered and said to them: "Take heed that no one deceives you'. Lk 21:8 'Take heed that you not be deceived'. 2 Thess 2:3 'Let no one deceive you by any means'. 2 Thess 2:9-10, 'The coming of the lawless one is according to the working of Satan ... with all unrighteous deception'. 2 Thess 3:13 'evil men and impostors will grow worse and worse, deceiving and being deceived'.

warfare.³⁵ Failing in this can lead to the elect being deceived along with the world.³⁶ If what goes on in the world is increasingly deceitful, then we must see through that error and not gullibly believe what politicians say.³⁷

We are to beware the activity of Satan in his plans to build a global despotic empire at the end where sin is magnified to its fulness and wickedness reigns. This much all Christians agree upon. What is less well understood are the various deceptive plans that he works through governments and other agencies that are preparing for this empire right now. Unless you understand this deception there is the danger that you will support the very policies that Satan is using to fool the world.

Thus many gullible Christians, and even churches, are supporting Green initiatives and Left-Wing policies that claim to be based on good environmental principles but are in fact preparing the way for totalitarianism and the persecution of Christians. Gullible believers also often vote for very unrighteous people failing to test their ideology, character and allegiances.³⁸ This is a serious matter; churches often support satanic people and demonic strategies because they did not study the issues carefully. For example, many American churches support fleshly Israel when it kills Palestinian Christians, whose families have lived in Palestine since the time of Christ, plus women, children, old men and women and even fetuses.³⁹

Now I am not opposed to genuine environmental and ecological good works. I myself have been a naturalist all my life and do what little I can now to enhance wildlife in my small garden. I love programmes like Springwatch and care about genuine nature conservancy. However, much Green policy has little to do with actual conservancy and is all about politics; chiefly the demonisation of CO₂ which is actually damaging the environment (especially in Virginia). Where Greens have had some power (such as in Brighton) their policies (apart from angering the local people) have actually worsened air quality and wildlife.⁴⁰

So, it is valuable to have some understanding of what the global elite are doing in the name of Satan, albeit under the guise of benevolent projects. We must test all things and separate ourselves from evil. Do not be fooled by the Climate Change dogma; it is a root of evil. Do not support it.

Further study

- Paul Fahy, *The fabrications behind climate change*.
- Paul Fahy, *Synopsis against global warming*.

³⁵ Mk 13:33 'Take heed, watch and pray'. Lk 21:36 'Watch therefore, and pray always that you may be counted worthy to escape all these things that will come to pass'.

³⁶ Matt 24:24 'For false christs and false prophets will rise and show great signs and wonders to deceive, if possible, even the elect'.

³⁷ Note, for example, the Labour reversal. In the 2017 election their manifesto and speeches promised to honour the referendum and deliver Brexit. They are now, openly, the party of remaining in the EU. As a result they were punished in the EU MEP elections since around 60% of Labour supporters voted to leave.

³⁸ Many Christians voted for Tony Blair and GW Bush because they claimed to be Christians. They then participated and were responsible for killing over a million innocent Iraqis, some of whom were Christians. They also, effectively, drove Christians out of Iraq that had been there for 2,000 years. The recent bombing of Mosul by America destroyed that last enclave of Christians in Iraq forcing those that survived to flee.

³⁹ They recently shot a pregnant Palestinian woman peacefully demonstrating.

⁴⁰ For example, based on political ideology, the Green council has removed car lanes on highways and caused major traffic gridlock at peak times, which results in massive pollution through idling cars in first gear worsening the air quality and damaging local wildlife. There are many other offending policies.

- Paul Fahy, *Cultural Movements that deceive nations* (chapter on environmentalism).
- David Bellamy, *Speech to the climate change conference*, 19 March 2011.
- Ian Plimer (one of the world's foremost climatologists), *Climate: The counter consensus*.
- Ian Plimer, *Climate change delusion and the great electricity rip-off*.
- Ian Plimer, *Heaven and Earth: global warming – the missing science*.
- Ian Plimer, *Inconvenient facts: the science that Al Gore doesn't want you to know*.
- Piers Corbyn, YouTube interview and debate in London on the street.
- Bit Chute video, James Corbett, *Why Big Oil conquered the world*; see Corbett Report. [Extensively referred to in some parts of this work.] There is a transcript available for this at Corbettreport.com.
- Elaine Dewar, *The Cloak of Green*. (Elainedewar.blogspot.com]
- YouTube, *The IPCC Exposed*, Corbett Report.
- Rosa Koire: *Behind the green mask; Agenda 21*.
- Joseph Plummer, *Tragedy and Hope 101: the illusion of justice, freedom and democracy*.

Scripture quotations are from The New King James Version
© Thomas Nelson 1982

Paul Fahy Copyright © 2019
Understanding Ministries
<http://www.understanding-ministries.com>